REMARKS

Upon entry of the above amendment, claims 1-5, 18-20 and 31-38 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 18, 31 and 36 being the independent claims. Claims 1, 18, 31 and 36 are sought to be amended. Entry and consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. No new matter is believed to have been introduced by this amendment.

Applicant has made the above amendment to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-5, 18-20, 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,965,743 to Malin et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Malin") in view of "Debugging Heterogeneous Distributed Systems Using Event-Based Models of Behavior" (hereinafter referred to as "Bates"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections since Malin and Bates, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest each element of independent claims 1, 18, 31 and 36 for at least the following reason.

Independent claims 1, 18, 31 and 36 have been amended to include the similar feature of determining that the behavioral model is too specific. Malin and Bates, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest this feature. Therefore, for at least this reason, independent claims 1, 18, 31 and 36 (and their dependent claims 2-5, 19, 20, 32-35, 37 and

Appln. Serial No. 09/885,448

Reply to final Office Action mailed on November 2, 2006

38) are patentable over Malin and Bates, either taken alone or in combination. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be reconsidered

and withdrawn.

INVITATION FOR A TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned, Molly A. McCall, at (703) 633-0931

if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been

properly traversed accommodated or rendered moot. Applicant believes that a full and

complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action. Thus, Applicant believes

that the present application is in condition for allowance, and as such, Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections, and allowance of this

application.

Respectfully submitted,

Intel Corporation

Dated: November 14, 2006

/Molly A. McCall/Reg. No. 46,126

Molly A. McCall

(703) 633-0931

P18903 Reply to second Final OA

10